# A NOTE ON A THEOREM OF CONTINUUM OF ZERO POINTS

Bao-Lin Guo Yoshitsugu Yamamoto University of Tsukuba

(Received April 16, 1997; Final March 13, 1998)

Abstract By developing an algorithm, Herings, Talman and Yang [6] recently proved the following interesting and deep theorem: A correspondence  $\zeta$  from the *n*-dimensional unit cube  $U^n$  to the *n*-dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  has a continuum of zero points containing the origin and the vector of all-ones if the correspondence satisfies certain conditions. In this note we give an alternative proof of the theorem in the case where the correspondence  $\zeta : U^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is single-valued.

#### 1. Introduction

Since the appearance of the celebrated Brouwer's fixed point theorem, various fixed point theorems have been established. However, most of them only guarantee the existence of a single fixed point, and, as far as we are aware of, there are few existence results for multiple fixed points. Herings, Talman and Yang [6] recently demonstrated, by developing a simplicial algorithm, the following theorem (Theorem 4.3 of [6]) in a constructive manner: there exists a connected set of zero-points of a correspondence  $\zeta: U^n \to R^n$  containing the origin and the vector of all-ones if the correspondence satisfies some suitable conditions, where  $R^n$  is the *n*-dimensional Euclidean space and  $U^n$  is the unit cube of  $R^n$ , i.e.,  $U^n := \{x \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n; 0 \le x_i \le 1 \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . This theorem is interesting and deep, because it implies Brouwer's fixed point theorem as a special case. For the above theorem, Herings and Talman [5] provided an alternative existence proof. In this note we present a new and intuitively understandable proof of this theorem based on a theorem of Browder [1]. Our framework also gives a natural path-following interpretation of the algorithm of Herings, Talman and Yang [6], which is based on the three decades of significant development of fixed point computation, e.g., Scarf [9], Eaves [3], van der Laan and Talman [8], and Kojima and Yamamoto [7].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some preliminaries. In Section 3, we present the main result. In Section 4, we give an example as a geometric interpretation of the theorem.

#### 2. Preliminaries

We denote the set of all real numbers by R, the set of integers  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$  by  $I_n$ . For any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, x \ge y$  means  $x_i \ge y_i$  for  $i \in I_n, x > y$  means  $x \ge y$  with some  $j \in I_n$  such that  $x_j > y_j$ , and  $x \gg y$  means  $x_i > y_i$  for  $i \in I_n$ . We write  $\mathbf{0} := (0, 0, \ldots, 0)^{\mathsf{T}}, \mathbf{e} := (1, 1, \ldots, 1)^{\mathsf{T}}$ and  $\mathbf{e}^i := (0, \ldots, 0, \overset{i}{1}, 0, \ldots, 0)^{\mathsf{T}}$  for  $i \in I_n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^n_{++} := \{x \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n; x \gg \mathbf{0}\}$ . For a subset A of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\partial A$  denotes the boundary of A in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A function  $P : \mathbb{R}^n \to U^n$  is said to be the orthogonal projection from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  onto  $U^n$  if

(2.1) 
$$P(x) := \operatorname{argmin}\{ \|x - y\| \mid y \in U^n \},\$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the Euclidean norm. For a subset X of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and a function  $h: X \times [0,1] \to X$ , we define a subset  $C_h$  of  $X \times [0,1]$  as follows.

(2.2) 
$$C_h := \{ (x,t) \mid (x,t) \in X \times [0,1]; x = h(x,t) \}.$$

Let  $f: U^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a continuous function and let X be an open convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  containing  $U^n$ . We define a function  $\theta: X \to \mathbb{R}^n$  by

(2.3) 
$$\theta(x) := P(x) + f(P(x)).$$

Since the orthogonal projection P is a retraction onto  $U^n$ ,  $\theta$  is continuous on X and also  $\theta(x) = x + f(x)$  for  $x \in U^n$ .

For each  $t \in [0,1]$ , we define the subset  $\Omega(t)$  of  $U^n$  and a retraction  $r_t : \mathbb{R}^n \to \Omega(t)$  as follows.

(2.4) 
$$\Omega(t) := \{ x \mid x \in U^n; \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = nt \}$$

(2.5) 
$$r_t(x) := \operatorname{argmin}\{ ||x - y|| \mid y \in \Omega(t) \}.$$

The topological space Y is said to be *connected* if it is not the union of two or more nonempty disjoint closed sets. A subset of Y is called connected if it is connected as a subspace of Y. It is well known that the connectedness is a topologically invariant property. In particular, the continuous image of a connected set remains connected. For a subset Z of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and a point  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the *connected component of* x in Z is the union of all connected subsets of Z containing x. A subset of Z is simply called a *connected component* of Z if it is a connected component of some point in Z.

### 3. Main Result

To prove the main theorem we require the following theorem, which is a special case of Theorem 2 in Browder [1].

**Theorem 1** Let X be an open convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $h: X \times [0,1] \to X$  be a continuous function. Suppose there exists a compact subset K of X such that  $h(X \times [0,1]) \subset K$ . Then there exists a connected component D of  $C_h$  defined by (2.2) such that both of  $D \cap (X \times \{0\})$  and  $D \cap (X \times \{1\})$  are nonempty.

In this theorem,  $X \times \{t\}$  is homeomorphic to X for each fixed  $t \in [0,1]$ . Then the function  $h(\cdot, t)$  has a fixed point in X for each  $t \in [0,1]$  by Brouwer's fixed point theorem. We here introduce two lemmas necessary to prove the main theorem.

**Lemma 2** For the retraction  $r_t : \mathbb{R}^n \to \Omega(t)$  of (2.5), it holds that  $||r_t(x) - r_t(x')|| \le ||x - x'||$ for  $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

The proof is straightforward and will be omitted. The following is Corollary 8.1 of Hogan [4]. Let  $2^{R^n}$  denote the power set of  $R^n$ .

**Lemma 3** Let  $F: \mathbb{R} \to 2^{\mathbb{R}^n}$  be a point-to-set map and let  $g: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be a real-valued function. Let the point-to-set map  $k: \mathbb{R} \to 2^{\mathbb{R}^n}$  be defined as

(3.1) 
$$k(t) := argmin\{g(t, y) \mid y \in F(t)\}.$$

Suppose F is continuous at  $\overline{t}$  in the sense of point-to-set map, g is continuous on  $\{\overline{t}\} \times F(\overline{t})$ , k is nonempty and uniformly compact near  $\overline{t}$ , and  $k(\overline{t})$  is a singleton. Then k is continuous at  $\overline{t}$ .

Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 yield the following theorem.

**Theorem 4** Let X be an open convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  containing  $U^n$  and let  $f: U^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a continuous function. Let the orthogonal projection  $P: \mathbb{R}^n \to U^n$ , the function  $\theta: X \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , the set  $\Omega(t)$  and the retraction  $r_t$  be defined by (2.1), (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5), respectively. Then the function  $h: X \times [0,1] \to U^n$  defined by

(3.2) 
$$h(x,t) := r_t(\theta(x))$$

is continuous on  $X \times [0,1]$ .

Proof: First we show the continuity of h with respect to t. For a fixed  $x \in X$ , let  $F : [0,1] \to 2^{U^n}$  and  $g : [0,1] \times U^n \to R$  be defined by

$$F(t) := \Omega(t) \text{ and } g(t, y) := \|\theta(x) - y\|^2.$$

Also let  $k : [0,1] \to 2^{U^n}$  be defined by (3.1) for these F and g. Since F(t) is a compact convex set and g(t,y) is a strictly convex function in y, k(t) is a singleton. The other conditions of Lemma 3 can be easily checked, and since h(x,t) = k(t), h is continuous with respect to t.

Next we show the continuity of h with respect to  $(x,t) \in X \times [0,1]$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. By the continuity of  $\theta$  on X, there exists  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that for  $x' \in X$ 

(3.3) 
$$\|\theta(x') - \theta(x)\| < \varepsilon/2 \text{ if } \|x' - x\| < \delta_1.$$

Using the continuity of h in t, we can choose  $\delta_2 > 0$  such that for  $t' \in [0, 1]$ 

(3.4) 
$$||h(x,t') - h(x,t)|| < \varepsilon/2 \text{ if } |t'-t| < \delta_2.$$

Put  $\delta := \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ . For any  $(x', t') \in X \times [0, 1]$  with  $||x' - x|| < \delta$  and  $|t' - t| < \delta$ , using (3.3), (3.4) and Lemma 2, we see

$$\begin{aligned} \|h(x',t') - h(x,t)\| &\leq \|h(x',t') - h(x,t')\| + \|h(x,t') - h(x,t)\| \\ &< \|r_{t'}(\theta(x')) - r_{t'}(\theta(x))\| + \varepsilon/2 \\ &\leq \|\theta(x') - \theta(x)\| + \varepsilon/2 < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore h is continuous at (x, t).

Now we introduce the assumption of f in [6], which plays the crucial role for the existence of a continuum of zero points.

**Assumption 5** The function  $f: U^n \to R^n$  satisfies

(a) f is continuous,

(b) for any  $x \in \partial U^n$ ,

$$f_i(x) \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \geq 0 & if \quad x_i = 0 \\ \leq 0 & if \quad x_i = 1, \end{array} \right.$$

(c) for each  $x \in U^n$ , there is  $p(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n_{++}$  such that  $p(x)^{\top} f(x) = 0$ . By  $Z_f$  we denote the set of zero points of f, i.e.,

$$Z_f := \{ x \mid x \in U^n; f(x) = 0 \}.$$

**Remark**: According to Assumption 5 (c), either  $f(x) \ge 0$  or  $f(x) \le 0$  implies  $x \in Z_f$ .

Now we are ready to prove the existence theorem Theorem 4.3 of Herings, Talman and Yang [6]. It should be noted that their theorem is more general and holds for point-to-set maps.

Copyright © by ORSJ. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

**Theorem 6** Let  $f : U^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a function satisfying Assumption 5. Then the set  $Z_f$  contains a connected component containing **0** and **e**.

To prove the theorem, we first prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 7** Let  $f: U^n \to R^n$  be the function satisfying Assumption 5 and let  $h: X \times [0,1] \to U^n$  be defined by (3.2). Then for  $(x,t) \in X \times [0,1]$ ,  $(x,t) \in C_h$  if and only if  $x \in Z_f \cap \Omega(t)$ . Proof: To prove the "only if" part let (x,t) be a point in  $C_h$ . Then we see

$$x = h(x, t) = r_t(\theta(x)) \in \Omega(t).$$

Then P(x) = x and  $x = r_t(x + f(x))$ . Now we only need to show that  $x \in Z_f$ . We define  $\tilde{f}$ , h and  $g_i$  for  $i \in I_{2n}$  as follows:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{f}(y) &:= \frac{1}{2} \|y - (x + f(x))\|^2, \ h(y) := \sum_{j=1}^n y_j - nt \\ g_i(y) &:= \begin{cases} -y_i & \text{if } i \in I_n \\ y_{i-n} - 1 & \text{if } i \in I_{2n} \setminus I_n, \end{cases} \end{split}$$

and consider the minimization problem:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & \tilde{f}(y) \\ \text{subject to} & y \in X; \ h(y) = 0; \ g_i(y) \leq 0 \ \text{for} \ i \in I_{2n}. \end{array}$$

Note that the feasible region of this problem is exactly  $\Omega(t)$ . Let  $J := \{i \mid i \in I_{2n}; g_i(y) = 0\}$ , which is the union of  $J_0 := \{i \mid i \in I_n; y_i = 0\}$  and  $J_1 := \{i \mid i \in I_n; y_i = 1\}$ . Due to the linearity of constraints, it is readily seen that the problem satisfies a suitable constraint qualification, e.g., Abadie's constraint qualification. Therefore we obtain the necessary condition at a solution x that

$$f(x) = \lambda_0 \mathbf{e} + \sum_{i \in J_0} \lambda_i (-\mathbf{e}^i) + \sum_{i \in J_1} \lambda_i \mathbf{e}^i$$

for some  $\lambda_0 \in R$  and  $\lambda_i \geq 0$  for  $i \in J$ . If  $x \notin \partial U^n$ , then  $J_0 \cup J_1 = \emptyset$  and this condition reduces to  $f(x) = \lambda_0 \mathbf{e}$ . According to Assumption 5 (c), we obtain  $\lambda_0 = 0$ , and hence  $x \in Z_f$ . We then assume  $x \in \partial U^n$  and consider the following three cases.

**Case1**:  $J_0 \neq \emptyset$  and  $J_1 \neq \emptyset$ . By Assumption 5 (b), for any  $i \in J_0$  and  $i' \in J_1$ , we have

$$\lambda_0 - \lambda_i \geq 0$$
 and  $\lambda_0 + \lambda_{i'} \leq 0$ ,

so that  $\lambda_0 = 0$ . Then  $\lambda_i = 0$  and  $\lambda_{i'} = 0$ , and hence  $x \in Z_f$ . Case 2:  $J_0 \neq \emptyset$  but  $J_1 = \emptyset$ By Assumption 5 (b), we have  $\lambda_0 - \lambda_i \ge 0$  for  $i \in J_0$ . Then  $\lambda_0 \ge 0$  and

$$f(x) = \lambda_0 \mathbf{e} + \sum_{i \in J_0} \lambda_i(-\mathbf{e}^i) \ge \mathbf{0}.$$

Applying Remark, we obtain  $x \in Z_f$ . Case 3:  $J_0 = \emptyset$  but  $J_1 \neq \emptyset$ 

By Assumption 5 (b), we have  $\lambda_0 + \lambda_i \leq 0$  for  $i \in J_1$ . Then  $\lambda_0 \leq 0$  and

$$f(x) = \lambda_0 \mathbf{e} + \sum_{i \in J_1} \lambda_i \mathbf{e}^i \le \mathbf{0}.$$

Again applying Remark, we obtain  $x \in Z_f$ .

Next we prove the "if" part. Suppose  $x \in Z_f \cap \Omega(t)$ , then P(x) = x and f(x) = 0. Thus

$$\theta(x) = P(x) + f(P(x)) = x$$

and

$$h(x,t) = r_t(\theta(x)) = r_t(x) = x_t$$

because  $x \in \Omega(t)$ . Therefore  $(x, t) \in C_h$  and the proof is completed.

Now we give the proof of Theorem 6.

#### **Proof of Theorem 6**

Note that the function  $h: X \times [0,1] \to U^n$  of (3.2) is continuous by Theorem 4. According to Theorem 1 there exists a connected subset D of  $C_h$  such that both  $D \cap (U^n \times \{0\})$  and  $D \cap (U^n \times \{1\})$  are nonvacant. Suppose  $(x,0), (x',1) \in D$ , then we have

$$x = h(x,0) = r_0(\theta(x)) = \mathbf{0}$$

and

$$x' = h(x', 1) = r_1(\theta(x')) = \mathbf{e}$$

Then  $(\mathbf{0}, 0), (\mathbf{e}, 1) \in D$ . Let  $P_x : X \times [0, 1] \to X$  be the projection onto the first coordinate. Since  $P_x$  is continuous and D is a connected subset of  $C_h$ , we obtain a connected set  $P_x(D) \subset Z_f$  which contains two points

$$0 = P_x((0,0))$$
 and  $e = P_x((e,1))$ .

Now the proof is completed.

### 4. Example

In this section, we give an illustrative example as a geometric interpretation of Theorem 6. Let  $f: U^2 \to R^2$  be defined by

$$f(x) := ((x_2^{\mu} - x_1^{\nu})(1 + x_1), (x_1^{\nu} - x_2^{\mu})(1 + x_2))^{\top},$$

where  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are natural numbers. For each  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ , the continuity of f is clear. We easily see that

$$f_1(0, x_2) = x_2^{\mu} \ge 0; \ f_2(x_1, 0) = x_1^{\mu} \ge 0$$

and

$$f_1(1, x_2) = 2(x_2^{\mu} - 1) \le 0; \ f_2(x_1, 1) = 2(x_1^{\nu} - 1) \le 0.$$

Next, for each  $x \in U^2$  let  $p(x) = (1 + x_2, 1 + x_1)^{\top} \in R^2_{++}$ , then  $p(x)^{\top} f(x) = 0$ . Hence Assumption 5 (a), (b) and (c) are satisfied. It is clear to see that  $Z_f$  contains a connected component

$$S := \{ (t, t^{\frac{\nu}{\mu}})^{\top} \mid t \in [0, 1] \}$$

connecting **0** and **e**. The component S of this example can have the following three different shapes depending on the values of  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ :

(i) If  $\mu = \nu$ ,  $S = \{ (t, t) \mid t \in [0, 1] \}$ , which is the diagonal set of  $U^2$ .

(ii) If  $\mu > \nu$ , S is an arc linking two corners above the diagonal set.

(iii) If  $\mu < \nu$ , S is an arc linking two corners below the diagonal set.

402

### Acknowledgment

The second author is partly supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research of the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Grand No.10680419.

# References

- [1] F.E. Browder: On continuity of fixed points under deformations of continuous mappings. Summa Brasiliensis Mathematicae, 4 (1960) 183-191.
- [2] J. Dugundji: Topology (Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1996).
- [3] B.C. Eaves: Homotopies for computation of fixed points. *Math. Programming*, **3** (1972) 225-237.
- [4] W.W. Hogan: Point-to-set maps in mathematical programming. SIAM Review, 15 (1973) 591-603.
- [5] J.J. Herings and A.J.J. Talman: Intersection theorem with a continuum of intersection. CentER Discussion Paper No. 9479, Tilburg University, Tilburg, The Netherlands, 1994.
- [6] J.J. Herings, A.J.J. Talman and Z. Yang: The computation of a continuum of constrained equilibria. *Math. of Operations Research*, **21** (1996) 675-696.
- [7] M. Kojima and Y. Yamamoto: Variable dimension algorithms: basic theory, interpretation and extensions of some existing methods: *Math. Programming*, 24 (1982) 177-215.
- [8] G. van der Laan and A.J.J. Talman: A restart algorithm for computing fixed points without an extra dimension. *Math. Programming*, **17** (1979) 74-84.
- [9] H. Scarf: The approximation of fixed points of a continuous mapping. SIAM J. Appl. Math., 15 (1967) 1328-1343.

Yoshitsugu Yamamoto Institute of Policy and Planning Sciences University of Tsukuba Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8573, Japan e-mail:yamamoto@shako.sk.tsukuba.ac.jp